

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address Colomissioner for Patents O Bassisso Address Colomissioner 22413-1450 www.napto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/847,370 05/03:2001 Manabu Mizusaki NEC2410-US 7669 466 7590 06/25/2003 YOUNG & THOMPSON 745 SOUTH 23RD STREET 2ND FLOOR EXAMINER ARLINGTON, VA 22202 MITCHELL, JAMES M ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2827 DATE MAILED: 06/25/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication appr		Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/847,370	MIZUSAKI, MANABU
		Examiner	Art Unit
		James Mitchell	2827
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any  - Status			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 40 M	lorah 2002	
2a)⊠			
3)	,		
closed in accordance with the practice under Exparte Quayle 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		(-) (-)
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents I		on No
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.			
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3/11/6 5  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  Other:			
Palent and Trad			

Art Unit: 2827

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 8-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over applicant's admitted prior art (Fig 6) in combination with Sumikawa

Sumaikawa (Fig 6, 8) discloses an electrode structure of a carrier substrate ("mounting board"; 13) for solder-bonding a mounting structure to a main substrate, said electrode structure comprising: a carrier substrate (7) having a hemispherical or cylindrical shaped recess (shown in Fig 6) in a central area of a surface thereof; a hemispherical and cup shaped soldering land of the electrode structure arranged and fixedly attached (via recess being coated to form land), disposed in the recess that has a concentric hollow portion thereinside, said land having a in inherent circumferential wall defining an interior hollow portion extending from said surface, and a passage (2B) or through portion that goes through the outer portion of the wall and flanges (portion of 1 protruding over board) connecting between an inside portion of said recess and an outer portion of said circumferential wall surface through an outer portion of said circumferential wall (not labeled); wherein the circumferential wall is entirely within the recess with at least one slit.

Art Unit: 2827

Sumikawa does not appear to disclose that the mounting structure is a semiconductor device, however applicant's admitted prior art (Fig 6) discloses a semiconductor device (501). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate a mounting semiconductor device structure on the carrier substrate ("mounting board") of Sumikawa in order to provide a mounting structure as required by Sumikawa (Title).

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sumikawa and applicant's admitted prior art in combination with Lau (Chip Scale Package).

Neither Sumikawa nor Lau explicitly disclose that the modified package of the semiconductor device is of a Chip Scale Package type (CSP).

However Lau teaches the use of CSP.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to form a mount package as a Chip Scale Package type (CSP), in order provide increase device density and easier burn in as taught by Lau (Preface).

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed March 19, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant contends that its foreign priority date precedes the Sumikawa reference. However, because no English translation has been provided, applicant has failed to establish priority.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 2827

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Mitchell whose telephone number is (703) 305-0244. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:30-8:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on (703) 305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 305-3230 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Art Unit: 2827

Page 5

AM E IM